

Soft money contributions of \$308,000 to the Republican National Party Committees.

Nearly \$2 million in special interest PAC contributions, 78 percent or \$1.4 million of it going to Republicans.

The NRA spent another \$1.5 million in independent expenditures, \$1.2 million of which went to support Republican candidates.

And how about those reformers—the Republican House freshmen. They want this vote today. And there is little wonder.

The NRA shelled out \$235,000 in special interest PAC money to House freshmen in the 1993–94 election cycle, 44 percent of the NRA's total PAC contributions.

Mr. Speaker, from day one this Congress has been responsive only to the powerful special interests that funnel high dollar campaign donations to the GOP. Today is just another glaring example.

ADVENTURES IN FANTASYLAND

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, recently Bill Clinton submitted the details of his fiscal year 1997 budget. I think it is safe to call this new budget adventures in fantasyland.

The adventure begins with the illusion of serious Medicare reform. Not real Medicare reform, mind you, just empty rhetoric and fake concern. Then, we'll proceed to fictitious welfare reform where all we get are vetoes and a lot of hot air.

After that, we'll travel to the tax cut mirage where tax relief seems almost within grasp, then disappears the closer we get.

Mr. Speaker, the American people now the drill by now. They have a President unwilling to keep his promises, one who hides behind politics to avoid making the tough choices.

This new budget is not a serious attempt to end big government. Really, it is just an image, a fantasy, another broken promise.

ASSAULT WEAPONS

(Mr. MARKEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, assault weapons. The narcotic of the NRA. The weapon of choice for lunatics bent on slaughtering large numbers of their fellow men, women, and children.

Congress banned these killing machines. The public is disgusted with gun violence. But now a radical wing of the Republican Party, which controls decisionmaking in the House of Representatives, has decided to legalize these crowd-killing devices again.

Why? Promises made, and promises kept. Promises made and promises kept. The NRA has come to town to re-

deem a promise, and the Republicans who made this deadly deal are about to keep it.

The whole world is watching. It is appalled that a Nation soaked in the blood of gun violence would legalize the more efficient massacre of innocents.

Let us stop this Congress before it hurts people across this country.

WELFARE

(Mr. KNOLLENBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Speaker, do you remember who said "I will change welfare as we know it"? The answer to this question is not a \$64,000 question. In fact, we all know who said it: The same individual who said that the era of big government is over, except we want it to last a little longer.

I wonder what the President really meant, or was it just another one of his hollow promises?

I do not blame my friends on the other side of the aisle, because I know pretty much where many of you stand. You said where you stand. You have been honest and straightforward about it. Many of you want to spend more money. I understand that. Many of you are less concerned about the inefficiency and the nonproductiveness of some of these plans.

I simply want to know where the President stands, not what he says. Is it candidate Clinton who wants to change welfare as we know it, or is it the current President who has vetoed every major reform?

Then again, it is an election year.

REPUBLICAN CUTS TO EDUCATION NOT NECESSARY

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Republican cuts in education are not necessary to balance the budget. Today's newspapers across our country report that the Nation's deficit this year is \$145.6 billion, down from \$163.5 billion last year, and half the \$292 billion of 4 years ago under a Republican administration.

We have made great strides in reducing the deficit without the outrageous cuts in education. But the Republicans continue to insist on attacking public education and continue to govern piecemeal. The uncertainty about Federal funding has caused chaos in our local schools as they wait for final word on future funding for levels of elementary and secondary education programs. Today as we continue on the GOP's road, school districts across our Nation may be forced to lay off 40,000 teachers because of the funding uncertainty, and increase class sizes and cause an additional decline in the quality of education.

The American people want our children to be educated, but the Republicans refuse to give up on their extreme course of deep cuts education funding.

The American people want a balanced budget without these education cuts.

□ 1015

THE TAX BURDEN

(Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, Reader's Digest recently did a poll that showed that Americans believed that the maximum tax burden a family of four should face is 25 percent. That is what Americans think is a fair tax burden.

But reality shows that, today, the total tax burden—State, local, Federal—is near 40 percent. Mr. Speaker, and if I may strike a moralistic tone, this is wrong. It is wrong that Americans have to suffer under a nearly 40-percent tax rate. It is a recipe for disaster for us here in Washington to pass bill after bill, year after year, just to make sure the Washington bureaucracy has enough money, and while the country goes further and further in debt.

How much is enough? Forty percent? Fifty percent? How long before our children start paying an 80-percent tax rate?

Mr. Speaker, Washington taxes too much because Washington spends too much. Bill Clinton's latest budget totally fails to address the reality that we need to cut Washington taxes and cut Washington spending.

THE ASSAULT WEAPONS BAN

(Mr. BROWN of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker I do not profess to be an expert on the issue of the assault weapons ban. But I have heard from two people I would consider experts on the issue: Samuel Scott, chief of police in Fontana, CA and Dennis Hegwood, chief of police in Rialto, CA—both cities in my district. They are both against any effort to repeal the assault weapons ban.

Even without the support of police chiefs and other national police organizations, recently released statistics prove why we should maintain the assault weapons ban.

During the late 1980's assault weapons accounted for about 8 to 10 percent of all guns traced by law enforcement, even though assault weapons accounted for only about 1 percent of the guns in private hands. However, the number of assault weapons traces initiated in the first 8 months of 1995, 1 year after the ban's enactment, fell for the first time in recent years from prior